Central Historical Question:

Since the Catholic Church apologized to Galileo in 1992, should textbooks be forgiving in their portrayal of the Church's condemning of Galileo in 1633?

The Papal Inquisition's condemnation of Galileo, 1633 (edited)

- 1. Who wrote the document?
- 2. Why did they write it?
- 3. Based on your prior knowledge of the trial, was Galileo's condemnation "fair"?

4. Should textbooks be forgiving in their portrayal of the Church's condemning of Galileo in 1633?

Yes ←-----→No

5. Why?

Central Historical Question:

Since the Catholic Church apologized to Galileo in 1992, should textbooks be forgiving in their portrayal of the Church's condemning of Galileo in 1633?

Recantation of Galileo June 22, 1633

1. What was the purpose of Galileo's recantation?

2. What do you think those who believed in Galileo's theory think about his recantation (why will they/will they not believe still believe in his past ideas?)

3. Do you think forcing Galileo to recant was a "victory" for the Church? (Why)

4. Should textbooks be forgiving in their portrayal of the Church's condemning of Galileo in 1633?

Yes ←-----→No

5. Why?

Central Historical Question:

Since the Catholic Church apologized to Galileo in 1992, should textbooks be forgiving in their portrayal of the Church's condemning of Galileo in 1633?

Pope John Paul II, L'Osservatore Romano, Nov 4, 1992 (edited)

- 1. Who wrote the document?
- 2. When and why did they write it?

3. Based on your prior knowledge of the trial and Pope John Paul II's speech, was Galileo's condemnation "fair"?

4. Should textbooks be forgiving in their portrayal of the Church's condemning of Galileo in 1633?

 $Yes \leftarrow \cdots \rightarrow No$

5. Why?

Central Historical Question:

Since the Catholic Church apologized to Galileo in 1992, should textbooks be forgiving in their portrayal of the Church's condemning of Galileo in 1633?

 $Yes \leftarrow ----- \rightarrow No$

Thesis:

Supporting Ideas:

Does religion still play a role in science today?